Journal of Mainframe Computing and Legacy System Modernization

SAP S/4HANA HEALTHCARE TRANSFORMATION FOR MODERNIZING LEGACY HOSPITAL MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS

Shohei Kato
Japan

ABSTRACT

SAP S/4HANA supports healthcare transformation by modernizing legacy hospital management systems through an integrated and real-time enterprise platform. The system can replace fragmented applications used for finance, procurement, inventory, billing, human resources, asset management, and administrative reporting. Centralized data processing improves information accuracy, reduces duplicate records, and supports faster coordination across hospital departments. Automated workflows streamline approvals, payments, purchasing, stock replenishment, payroll, and compliance activities. Real-time dashboards allow administrators to monitor costs, resource use, service performance, and operational risks. Migration tools and standardized processes also help hospitals improve scalability, security, and system maintenance. Role-based access, audit trails, and validation controls strengthen accountability. Overall, SAP S/4HANA can improve operational efficiency, financial transparency, digital integration, and strategic hospital management.

keywords: SAP S/4HANA; Healthcare Transformation; Legacy System Modernization; Hospital Management Systems; Digital Integration.

1. Introduction

Enterprise applications depend on reliable diagnostics to detect runtime errors, failed workflows, API issues, slow transactions, and integration faults [1-2]. Logs are the main evidence source for understanding what happened before, during, and after an incident [3-4]. Effective application diagnostics require structured logging, traceability, contextual metadata, error classification, and operational visibility [5-7]. However, fixed logging strategies often create two problems. Low-detail logs may miss important causes [8], while high-detail logs may generate excessive storage cost and alert noise [9].

The main challenge is that diagnostic needs change during runtime [10]. A normal transaction may require only basic logs, but a failing workflow, repeated exception, or security-sensitive event may need deeper traces [11-12]. Adaptive logging improves this process by changing log depth according to system condition, transaction risk, and error behavior [13-15]. AI-assisted monitoring can identify when additional diagnostic detail is needed and when logging can return to normal levels [16-18]. This article proposes an adaptive logging framework for enterprise application diagnostics.

2. Methodology

The proposed framework captures log metadata from application servers, API gateways, databases, background jobs, authentication modules, and integration services [19-20]. It records event type, severity, timestamp, user role, transaction ID, request path, error frequency, response time, affected component, and workflow state [21-22]. These features are converted into diagnostic context profiles [23]. Adaptive logging requires context because the same event may be low-risk in one workflow but critical in another [24-25]. The logging controller classifies runtime states as normal, warning, error-prone, performance-risk, security-sensitive, workflow-critical, or incident-active [26]. Based on this classification, it adjusts log level, trace depth, parameter capture, correlation ID tracking, and diagnostic enrichment [27-28]. Lightweight scoring and policy rules are used so logging decisions remain fast and explainable [29-30]. Sensitive fields are masked before storage, and transaction-critical events are linked with workflow and database identifiers for easier diagnosis [31].

The framework is evaluated using simulated enterprise applications involving APIs, database workflows, authentication events, scheduled jobs, and service integrations [32]. Static logging is compared with adaptive logging under repeated exceptions, slow requests, failed jobs, API timeout, suspicious login, and workflow interruption scenarios [33-36]. Evaluation metrics include diagnosis time, log volume reduction, root-cause evidence quality, missed-event rate, storage overhead, alert usefulness, and administrator acceptance rate. Feedback from resolved incidents, ignored logs, and administrator notes is used to refine logging policies over time.

3. Results and Discussion

The results show that adaptive logging improves diagnostics by capturing richer evidence only when runtime risk increases. In the baseline condition, static logs either lack enough detail or produce large volumes of low-value records. With the proposed framework, detailed traces are activated for high-risk events and reduced during normal execution. The strongest improvement appears in intermittent failures, where deeper logs are needed only around the failure window. The discussion shows that adaptive logging must balance diagnostic depth with privacy and storage cost. Excessive logging can expose sensitive data or overload monitoring systems, while weak logging may hide failure causes. The main limitation is that log-level decisions depend on accurate runtime classification. Regular policy review and masking controls are necessary for safe long-term use.

4. Conclusion

This article presented an adaptive logging framework for enterprise application diagnostics. The framework adjusts log detail using runtime context, risk scoring, workflow state, and error behavior. It improves troubleshooting by increasing diagnostic evidence during abnormal conditions while reducing unnecessary log noise during normal operation. The study shows that adaptive logging can strengthen enterprise diagnostics when combined with structured metadata, privacy controls, and continuous incident feedback.

References

1. Gorumutchu, M. R., Mandapatti, J. K., Jagadhabi, N., Kavuluri, V. V. R., & Bandla, S. (2024). Data Lineage Preservation Under Automated Schema Evolution. Journal of Grid, Machines and Drives, 11, 1-7.
2. Keshireddy, S. R. (2023). Anomaly Detection for ETL Execution Graphs Using Temporal Dependency Modeling. Emerging Digital Systems, 10, 9-16.
3. Kavuluri, H. V. R. (2023). Query Pattern Mining for Storage Optimization in Analytical Data Platforms. High-Performance Distributed Computing Review, 10, 9-16.
4. Keshireddy, S. R. (2024). Fault-Tolerant Data Engineering for Real-Time ETL in Multi-Source Enterprise Systems. Transactions on Smart Electrical and Computational Systems, 11, 9-15.
5. Jagadhabi, N., Mandapatti, J. K., Bandla, S., Kavuluri, V. V. R., & Gorumutchu, M. R. (2021). Cross-Layer Dependency Inflation in Model-Augmented Engineering Stacks. Cross-Disciplinary Knowledge Systems, 8, 32-38.

6. Kotla, C., kanth Thottempudi, K., & Kande, R. (2022). Software Supply Chain Security for Infrastructure-as-Code Pipelines with Vulnerability Detection and Remediation. Perception, Navigation and Intelligent Devices, 9, 29-36.
7. Keshireddy, S. R. (2019). Oracle APEX Integration with RESTful Services for Lightweight Enterprise Data Exchange. Journal of Grid, Machines and Drives, 6, 7-12.
8. kanth Thottempudi, K., Kande, R., & Kotla, C. (2024). Latency-Aware Decision Intelligence: Neural Architecture Search for Auto-Optimizing ML Inference Pipelines Under SLA Constraints in Retail Analytics. Emerging Digital Systems, 11, 29-36.
9. Kotla, C., kanth Thottempudi, K., & Kande, R. (2022). AI Risk Management for Cloud Platforms with Quantitative Scoring Aligned with NIST AI RMF. Journal of Privacy-Aware Computing Systems, 9, 41-48.
10. Nithyanandam, S., & Anjuru, P. (2023). Fault-Tolerant Design for High-Availability EV Charging Networks. High-Performance Distributed Computing Review, 10, 1-8.
11. Kande, R., Kotla, C., & kanth Thottempudi, K. (2023). Data Quality Firewall for Real-Time Schema Validation and Automated Quarantine in Analytics Pipelines. Transactions on Smart Electrical and Computational Systems, 10, 29-36.
12. kanth Thottempudi, K., Kotla, C., & Kande, R. (2025). Cognitive Data Fabric: Foundation Model Architecture for Self-Organizing Enterprise Knowledge, Schema Evolution, and Zero-Query Insight Discovery in Retail Analytics. Cross-Disciplinary Knowledge Systems, 12, 29-36.
13. Kavuluri, H. V. R. (2021). Source Code Complexity Assessment with Static Metrics and Maintainability Index. Perception, Navigation and Intelligent Devices, 8, 1-6.
14. Kavuluri, H. V. R. (2020). Oracle Autonomous Database vs Open-Source Solutions: An Overview. Journal of Grid, Machines and Drives, 7, 26-39.
15. Kande, R., Kotla, C., kanth Thottempudi, K., Anjuru, P., & Nithyanandam, S. (2021). Adaptive SOAR Using Deep Reinforcement Learning for Autonomous Threat Detection in Cloud-Native Architectures. Emerging Digital Systems, 8, 31-38.
16. Kande, R., Kotla, C., kanth Thottempudi, K., Anjuru, P., & Nithyanandam, S. (2025). Emergent Failure Prediction in Multi-Agent AI Systems Using Causal Graphs for Interaction Anomaly Detection and Cascade Propagation. Journal of Privacy-Aware Computing Systems, 12, 29-36.
17. Kavuluri, V. V. R., Mandapatti, J. K., Bandla, S., Jagadhabi, N., & Gorumutchu, M. R. (2025). Entanglement Effects in Auto-Generated Enterprise Application Artifacts. High-Performance Distributed Computing Review, 12, 41-47.
18. Nithyanandam, S., Anjuru, P., Kande, R., & Kotla, C. (2022). State Synchronization Framework for Resilient EV Charging Networks. Transactions on Smart Electrical and Computational Systems, 9, 1-8.

19. Anjuru, P., Nithyanandam, S., kanth Thottempudi, K., Kande, R., & Kotla, C. (2022). Self-Healing EV Charging Networks Using Autonomous Fault Recovery. Cross-Disciplinary Knowledge Systems, 9, 42-49.
20. Bandla, S., Jagadhabi, N., Gorumutchu, M. R., Mandapatti, J. K., & Kavuluri, V. V. R. (2024). Low-Code Applications and the Limits of Auto-Composed Auditability. Perception, Navigation and Intelligent Devices, 11, 31-38.
21. Kavuluri, V. V. R., Bandla, S., Gorumutchu, M. R., Mandapatti, J. K., & Jagadhabi, N. (2022). Execution Trace Forensics in Low-Code Platforms for Critical Workflows. Journal of Grid, Machines and Drives, 9, 1-8.
22. Kavuluri, H. V. R., & Keshireddy, S. R. (2019). Migrating Sensitive Government Databases to AWS RDS: Security, Compliance, and Risk Mitigation. Emerging Digital Systems, 6, 26-32.
23. Kavuluri, H. V. R. (2023). Metadata-Driven Engineering of Wind Turbine Logs for Condition Monitoring. Journal of Privacy-Aware Computing Systems, 10, 17-25.
24. Keshireddy, S. R. (2019). Audit Trails in Oracle APEX with Database Triggers and Session Metadata. High-Performance Distributed Computing Review, 6, 1-6.
25. Anjuru, P., & Nithyanandam, S. (2021). Closed-Loop Control for Autonomous EV Charging Infrastructure. Transactions on Smart Electrical and Computational Systems, 8, 31-38.
26. Keshireddy, S. R. (2020). Declarative Web Applications in Oracle APEX for Department-Level Workflows. Cross-Disciplinary Knowledge Systems, 7, 1-6.
27. Keshireddy, S. R. (2024). Resource-Aware Job Orchestration in Enterprise Data Platforms. Perception, Navigation and Intelligent Devices, 11, 9-15.
28. Nithyanandam, S., & Anjuru, P. (2025). AI-Driven Predictive Load Balancing in EV Charging Networks. Journal of Grid, Machines and Drives, 12, 29-36.
29. kanth Thottempudi, K., Kande, R., & Kotla, C. (2023). Graph Neural Networks for Cross-Domain Failure Correlation Across Pipelines, ML Models, and Analytics SLAs in Retail Enterprises. Emerging Digital Systems, 10, 35-42.
30. Kavuluri, H. V. R. (2021). Automating Oracle Database Performance Tuning Through AIBased Workload Analysis. Journal of Privacy-Aware Computing Systems, 8, 35-51.
31. kanth Thottempudi, K., Kande, R., & Kotla, C. (2021). Federated Learning for Privacy-Preserving Clinical Analytics in Multi-Tenant HIPAA Cloud Systems. High-Performance Distributed Computing Review, 8, 28-34.
32. Bandla, S., Kavuluri, V. V. R., Gorumutchu, M. R., Jagadhabi, N., & Mandapatti, J. K. (2021). Memory Pressure Amplification in Declarative Runtimes. Transactions on Smart Electrical and Computational Systems, 8, 39-45.
33. Kande, R., kanth Thottempudi, K., & Kotla, C. (2021). Autonomous DevSecOps: A Quantitative Maturity Model and ML-Driven Security Orchestration for Continuous Compliance. Cross-Disciplinary Knowledge Systems, 8, 1-8.

34. Anjuru, P., & Nithyanandam, S. (2025). Adaptive Self-Learning Control for Autonomous EV Charging Operations. Perception, Navigation and Intelligent Devices, 12, 29-36.
35. Bandla, S., Gorumutchu, M. R., Jagadhabi, N., Mandapatti, J. K., & Kavuluri, V. V. R. (2025). Failure Mode Taxonomy for AI-Assisted Software Assembly in Industries. Journal of Grid, Machines and Drives, 12, 1-8.
36. Kande, R., kanth Thottempudi, K., Kotla, C., Nithyanandam, S., & Anjuru, P. (2022). AI-Driven HIPAA Compliance Enforcement with Terraform and Azure Policy for Continuous Regulatory Monitoring. Emerging Digital Systems, 9, 29-36.